

**STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW**

Please review and enter the following remarks summarizing the interview conducted on April 16, 2007:

A telephone interview was conducted between the Examiner and the Applicant's representatives (Ruthleen E. Uy, and Rohit K. Krishna) on April 16, 2007. During the interview, amendments to independent claims 1, 22, 24, 26, 47, 49, 51, 52, 74, 76, 77, 78 were discussed. Specifically, amending the independent claims to include the subject matter of dependent claim 104 to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Loveman et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,211,869; hereinafter "Loveman").

The Examiner indicated that col. 3, paragraph [0039] of the publication of the present application, Kauffman et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0145622 A1; hereinafter "Kauffman") discloses features not present in Loveman. Specifically, utilizing the frame number of a current frame in conjunction with the timecode associated with that frame to perform the verification process.

Accordingly, independent claims 1, 22, 24, 26, 47, 49, 51, 52, 74, 76, 77, 78 are amended to incorporate the feature of "the time synchronization performed by calibrating for an offset of time between the selected content having one resolution and the content having another resolution by utilizing a frame number and timecode associated with a current frame".

Thus, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Further, it is respectfully submitted that the instant STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§1.2 and 1.133 and MPEP §713.04.